Record of meeting/discussion



Mott MacDonald Sweco

Project A96 Dualling Hardmuir to Fochabers Project No. 117880

Subject Community Council Forum – West Region Date of Meeting 15 November 2016

Location Ramnee Hotel, Forres

Present David Brownlees (DB)

Auldearn Community Council

David Shaw (DS), Carol Shaw (CS)

Dyke Landward Community Council

East Nairnshire Community Council

Eleanor Hayward (EH), John Guthrie (JG) Forres Community Council

Tony Pinner (TP), Brian Higgs (BH) Finderne Community Council

Les Morgan (LM) Findhorn & Kinloss Community Council

John MacIntyre Transport Scotland

Mike Hodgson, Keri Stewart, Mott MacDonald Sweco (MMS)

Dave Gowans, Fiona Drever MMS

Recorded

Distribution

Attendees

Item Text Action

1.0 Introductions

John MacIntyre, Project Manager for Transport Scotland, explained that this was the first meeting of the Community Council Forum for the West Region and that there would be further Forum meetings as the scheme progressed. However, if there were any significant developments before the next Forum he would advise the attendees.

A presentation was given to the Forum by Transport Scotland and the MMS team. A copy of the presentation is attached to this Minute.

2.0 Comments and Questions from Community Councils

(Response from Transport Scotland/Mott MacDonald Sweco in blue)

Question: DB – Does the Design Manual for Roads and Bridges (DMRB) require grade separated junctions?

Comment: BH commented that the use of grade separated junctions was not an absolute requirement of the DMRB, but was instead a decision made by TS and the Scottish Ministers.

Response: The DMRB is a comprehensive manual which contains current design standards, requirements, advice notes and other published documents for trunk road design and will be used to design the Hardmuir to Fochabers Scheme as it is for other trunk road projects being taken forward by Transport

Record of meeting/discussion Continuation sheet



Mott MacDonald Sweco

Project No. 117880

Date of Meeting

15 November 2016

em	Text	Action
	Scotland. The A96 Dualling Programme is being taken forward in line with the	
	Scottish Ministers' commitment to provide a high quality dual carriageway	
	between Inverness and Aberdeen. One of the outcomes of the DMRB stage 1	
	assessment process was the decision to provide a high quality, Category 7a	
	Dual Carriageway, where possible, with no central reservation crossings and	
	grade separated junctions. This approach will improve connectivity, reduce	
	journey times, and improve journey reliability and safety.	
	Comment: DC expressed his concern at the number of existing bypasses which	
	may be bypassed. He suggested that consideration should be given to	
	upgrading the Forres Bypass.	
	Response: Consideration will be given to upgrading the existing Forres Bypass	
	along with other options as part of the route option appraisal process (DMRB	
	Stage 2 assessment) that is currently being undertaken.	
	Question: DC noted that there are areas of flooding both to the North and the	
	South of the existing A96 and that the Pluscarden area is prone to flooding too.	
	Response: A Flood Risk Assessment will be carried out which will look at flood	
	related impacts. This will be taken into account in the route option assessment process.	
	Question: BH asked if this forum is in line with Scottish Government	
	Consultation Standards? He noted that Scottish Government consultations	
	have a twelve week consultation response period.	
	Response: Transport Scotland is only required to consult at the statutory	
	processes stage but is committed to placing engagement with directly affected	
	communities and other stakeholders at the heart of the development and	
	delivery of its projects. Transport Scotland's approach to community	
	engagement on the Dualling Programme is set out in the "A96 Dualling	
	Programme - Engaging with Communities" which was published in May 2015.	
	Transport Scotland is committed to undertaking a rolling programme of regular	
	engagement to ensure those affected by the work are kept fully informed and	
	their vital feedback taken into account. This programme of engagement	
	commenced in November 2013 with a series of public exhibitions and will	
	continue throughout the dualling programme.	

Record of meeting/discussion Continuation sheet



Mott MacDonald Sweco

Project No. 117880

Date of Meeting 15 November 2016

em	Text	Action
	Post Meeting Note: The twelve week period referred to in the Consultation	
	Good Practice Guide (published in 2008) generally applies to consultation in	
	connection with developing new policies and laws and their implementation.	
	This would apply to consultations on potential new policy (such as the	
	Consultation on proposals for regulations and policy supporting the Private Housing	
	(Tenancies) (Scotland) Act 2016) rather than on-going consultation which takes	
	place over many years.	
	Comment: DB stated that Auldearn Community Council is happy to be kept	
	informed throughout the design and assessment process.	
	Question: DC – How will access be provided to the railway station at Forres?	
	Response: All the options of dualling that are considered at Forres will take	
	account of access to the railway station. For off-line dualling options we will give	
	consideration to providing junctions on the east and west sides of the town and	
	consider how they will connect to the local road network. We will seek to	
	position junctions as close to towns as practicable to keep good access links.	
	Comment: JG commented that Forres Community Council is currently liaising	
	with Transport Scotland regarding the A96 junction near the railway station.	
	Comments: DB said that there were no obvious routes for the line of the dual	
	carriageway. He noted that this is a divisive process and you can't please	
	everyone.	
	Response: It is important to consult with groups and individuals to take account	
	of information when making a decision on a preferred option. Decisions have	
	to be evidence based, so that there is a clear rationale for why they have been	
	made. It is possible that decisions may have to be defended at a Public Local	
	Inquiry.	
	Comment: DB noted that people in Auldearn feel that they were listened to by	
	Transport Scotland and their design teams during consultation for the A96	
	Dualling Inverness to Nairn (including Nairn Bypass) section.	
	Comment: LM – Findhorn and Kinloss Community Council tried to agree a	
	common response but have made a decision that individual Community	

Record of meeting/discussion Continuation sheet



Mott MacDonald Sweco

Project No. 117880

Date of Meeting

15 November 2016

tem	Text	Action
	Councillors will respond. LM declared a personal interest in the scheme. He	
	thought it was a good objective to have dual carriageway.	
	Question: LM – With the current economic turbulence, will there be money to	
	spend on constructing the scheme?	
	Response: Dualling the entire length of carriageway is one of the commitments	
	made in the Infrastructure Investment Plan 2011, so the Scottish Government	
	is committed to progressing this scheme.	
	Comment: BH noted that end to end dualling of the A96 is not required on the	
	basis of traffic flows.	
	Response: Predicted traffic numbers have been assessed as part of the	
	strategic business case for the route. This document showed that the proposal	
	to dual the A96 is the best way to meet the future needs of those living, working	
	and travelling along the corridor. The appraisal concluded that the full dualling	
	of the A96 would deliver significant wider economic and accessibility benefits.	
	Post Meeting note: Traffic flows were only one of the factors that were	
	considered in the decision to dual the full length of the A96. The Strategic	
	Business Case, which was published in 2014, highlights that option 6 (full	
	dualling) should be taken forward in preference to Option 5 (dualling of the most	
	heavily trafficked sections). An extract from the Strategic Business Case	
	recommendations which highlights this is:	
	The appraisal demonstrates that Option 6, full dualling of the A96, would deliver	
	significant wider economic and accessibility benefits. While the alternative	
	Option 5 does offer slightly better value for money, it does not provide the	
	consistency of carriageway standard and therefore does not deliver the best	
	solution to meet the future needs of the corridor. Dualling the entire route	
	provides the highest Present Value of Benefit (PVB) and the highest levels of	
	wider economic and driver frustration benefits.	
	Comment: DC – There are a number of pinch points along the A96 (Keith, Elgin,	
	Nairn) – it would make sense to just deal with them. There is not enough traffic	
	volumes to justify doing end to end. People would welcome a dual carriageway	
	but would prefer to see pinch points dealt with.	

Record of meeting/discussion Continuation sheet



Mott MacDonald Sweco

Project No. 117880

Date of Meeting 15 November 2016

em	Text	Action
	Comment: DB – Rather than going over the justification for a dual carriageway	
	it is better to focus on responding to the questions and issues and seeing that	
	the process is done well.	
	Question: EH - A large amount of money is being spent on the railway link	
	from Inverness to Aberdeen; and the Scottish Government advocate people leaving cars behind and using public transport; yet they are spending money on	
	dualling from Inverness to Aberdeen – isn't this a contradiction?	
	Response: The Scottish Government and Transport Scotland are investing in	
	both road and rail schemes across the country. While dealing with pinch points	
	would improve conditions locally, it would not provide the same level of benefits	
	as the Scottish Government's commitment of providing a dual carriageway between Hardmuir and Fochabers.	
	Comment: CS – Brodie residents really want to get the A96 out of Brodie.	
	There are lots of HGVs going through the village and they are not always	
	adhering to the speed limit of 50 mph. It would be very upsetting if Brodie didn't	
	get a bypass.	
	Response: It had been noted that the general feedback received from the "Meet	
	the Team" events was in favour of a dual carriageway.	
	Comment: JG – We need better infrastructure to bring in business.	
	Response: Good infrastructure and predictability of journey times is important	
	for companies and the proposed dualling scheme will deliver this.	
	Question: DC – What is the situation in terms of valuation for Compulsory Purchase?	
	Response: The Scottish Ministers will appoint the Valuation Office Agency to	
	assess the level of compensation due for property or land compulsorily	
	purchased. The District Valuer and staff from the Valuation Office Agency will	
	discuss the level of compensation with each landowner and/or their professional	
	advisor. The assessment of compensation will depend on individual	
	circumstances, but will ensure that individuals will get the fair market value at	
	the time of vesting. The underlying principle is to put the landowner, in financial	
	terms, so far as money can do so, in the same position as if property had not	
	been taken. The assessment of compensation will take into account the value	

Record of meeting/discussion Continuation sheet



Mott MacDonald Sweco

Project No. 117880

Date of Meeting

15 November 2016

Item	Text	Action
	of property and the value of related effects (known as Severance, Injurious	
	Affection and Disturbance).	
	Question: When will you come to update the Forums next?	
	Response: John MacIntyre explained that once the initial options have been	
	identified in summer 2017 Transport Scotland and MMS will come back to	
	consult with the Community Council Forums and the public.	
	Question: BH thought that was a long time to go and it would be good to get	
	updates before then.	
	Response: If there is overwhelming evidence to rule out any improvement	
	strategy before Summer 2017 then the team would come back with an update	
	sooner.	
	Comment: LM - You can get facts from the Community Councils that you	
	otherwise wouldn't have - there is a lot of local knowledge available.	
	Response: Transport Scotland and MMS welcome the receipt of additional	
	information from Community Councils as it becomes available.	
	John MacIntyre closed the meeting and thanked all Community Councils for	
	attending.	